

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

ORIGINAL
FILE

MAY 15 1992

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
IN REPLY REFER TO:

May 14, 1992

Louise L. M. Tucker
Counsel for Bellcore and BOCs Using SCIS
2101 L Street, N.W.
Room WAS-600
Washington, D.C. 20037

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91 Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Ms. Tucker:

On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,



John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED
MAY 15 1992
ORIGINAL FILE

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
IN REPLY REFER TO:

May 14, 1992

Larry A. Blosser
Counsel for MCI Telecommunications Corporation
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91, Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Mr. Blosser:


On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,



John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

ORIGINAL
FILE

RECEIVED

MAY 15 1992

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
IN REPLY REFER TO

May 14, 1992

Randall B. Lowe
Counsel for Metromedia Communications Corporation
Metropolitan Square
1450 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91, Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Mr. Lowe:


On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,



John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

ORIGINAL
FILE

RECEIVED

MAY 15 1992

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

IN REPLY REFER TO:

May 14, 1992

Peter A. Rohrbach
Karis A. Hastings
Counsel for Williams Telecommunications Group, Inc.
555 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91, Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Mr. Rohrbach:

On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,



John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

MAY 15 1992

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

IN REPLY REFER TO

May 14, 1992

Leon M. Kestenbaum
Counsel for US Sprint Communications Company
1850 M Street, N.W.
Suite 1110
Washington, D.C. 20036

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91, Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Mr. Kestenbaum:

On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely



John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

MAY 15 1992

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

IN REPLY REFER TO:

May 14, 1992

Richard E. Wiley
Michael Yourshaw
William B. Baker
Counsel for American Newspaper Publishers Association
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91, Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Mr. Wiley:


On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,


John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

MAY 15 1992

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
IN REPLY REFER TO

May 14, 1992

Robert C. Mackichan, Jr.
Vincent L. Crivella
Michael J. Ettner
Counsel for General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Room 4002
Washington, D.C. 20405

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91, Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Mr. Mackichan:


On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,


John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED
MAY 15 1992
ORIGINAL FILE
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
IN REPLY REFER TO:

May 14, 1992

Richard E. Wiley
Robert J. Butler
Counsel for Competitive Telecommunications Association
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91, Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Mr. Wiley:


On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,



John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

ORIGINAL
FILE

RECEIVED

MAY 15 1992

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

May 14, 1992

IN REPLY REFER TO:

Roy L. Morris
Counsel for Allnet Communications Services, Inc.
1990 M Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91, Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Mr. Morris:

On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,



John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED
MAY 15 1992
ORIGINAL FILE
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
IN REPLY REFER TO

May 14, 1992

Daryl L. Avery
Peter G. Wolfe
Counsel for Public Service Commission of the
District of Columbia
450 Fifth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91, Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Mr. Avery:


On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,


John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

May 14, 1992

RECEIVED
MAY 15 1992
ORIGINAL FILE
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
IN REPLY REFER TO:

Francine J. Berry
David P. Condit
Peter H. Jacoby
Edward A. Ryan
Counsel for American Telephone and Telegraph Company
295 North Maple Avenue
Room 3244J1
Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91, Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Ms. Berry:


On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,


John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

May 14, 1992

ORIGINAL
FILE

RECEIVED

MAY 15 1992

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
IN REPLY REFER TO:

James S. Blaszak
Charles C. Hunter
Counsel for Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee
Gardner, Carton & Douglas
1301 K Street, N.W.
Suite 900 - East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005

Re: CC Docket No. 92-91, Investigation of ONA Tariffs

Dear Mr. Blaszak:

On May 13, 1992 representatives from Arthur Andersen & Co. conducted a briefing at Bellcore's Washington offices, at which they described their independent review of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) and similar models for petitioners involved in the referenced Docket. At that briefing, attended by staff members of the Tariff Division, several intervenors suggested refinements or revisions to the methodology described by Andersen.

The Tariff Division welcomes any initiatives that may improve the results of the independent auditor's review process, without compromising the competitively sensitive interests involved in this investigation. The auditor is, of course, not bound to adopt such informal suggestions, and submission of suggestions in no way limits petitioners' subsequent submission to the Commission of queries as provided in the SCIS Disclosure Order, DA 92-129, January 31, 1992.

In order to expedite any adjustments by the auditor that would be responsive to your suggestions, and to avoid unduly delaying the submission of the auditor's initial report, please submit proposals for such revisions to the auditor in writing no later than May 22, 1992. Copies of such proposals should also be submitted to (1) the Secretary of the Commission, for inclusion in the record of this Docket, and (2) the Chief, Tariff Division, for the convenience of staff responsible for the investigation.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,



John Cimko
Chief, Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau